

Draft Minutes
Budget and Resource Development Subcommittee
February 21, 2014, 10:30 am- 12:00 pm, Location: S5-104

Called to order: 10:35 AM

In attendance: Sadayoshi Okumoto (Chair), Brett Bell, Gene Choe, Denise Kapitzke, Joyce Allen, Steve Volin, David Buser, and David Navarro.

Guest: Buran Haidar

A. Approval of Agenda & Previous Minutes:

The current meeting agenda was approved.

The minutes from the November 15, 2013 meeting were approved.

B. Reports

B. Bell presented an overview of the current budget. He suggested that BRDS should be the mechanism to improve the transparency for budget related issues. The following outline was discussed during the meeting:

1. California Community Colleges:
 - a. Access - \$155.2M
 - b. COLA - \$48.5M or 0.86%
 - c. Student Success - \$200M
 - d. Deferred Maintenance and Instructional Equipment - \$175M
 - e. Deferrals - \$235.6 M Buy down
 - f. Prop 39 Energy Efficiency - \$39M
 - g. Improving Statewide Performance - \$2.5M
 - i. Additional Assumptions
 1. Categorical Flexibility
 2. RDA
 3. Apportionment stabilization
 4. Adult Education
 5. Innovative Models of Higher Education
2. What does this mean for SDCCCD?
 - a. In general, 3.4% of system budget
3. What does this mean for Miramar?
 - a. New revenues in 2014-15
 - b. Must collaboratively and transparently develop an integrated budget**
4. What does this mean for BRDS?
 - a. Identify new revenues
 - b. Identify who and how revenues are allocated
 - c. Main focus:
 - i. Set goals for remainder of 13-14

- ii. Set goals for 14-15
- iii. Prioritize new revenues and inform PIEC:
 - 1. Adjunct Allocation
 - 2. Discretionary
 - a. Restore PIO & Admin Services
 - b. Add funding for sources that have never had funding
 - i. Outreach?
 - c. Increase/add funding to expanding programs
 - i. Science
 - ii. CTE/HDAT
 - d. Classified Hiring
 - e. Review new requests:
 - i. Campus Wide
 - ii. Instruction
 - iii. Student Services
 - iv. Administrative Services
 - v. President

C. Old business

1. B. Haidar proposed changing the membership structure for BRDS. After some discussion, BRDS voted to recommend the following change: the VPA will also serve as the Co-Chair for BRDS.

2. The Proposed IELM 5-Year Plan was discussed. BRDS voted to recommend that Scenario #1 -- a more conservative plan -- should be the model used to allocate IELM funds. The conservative plan is shown in the table below.

Proposed Allocation Scenario #1			2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Conservative - Consistant Funding For All							
	Reserve		96,257	91,447	88,723	87,362	86,681
	IELM		86,636	86,000	86,000	86,000	86,000
	Total		182,893	177,447	174,723	173,362	172,681
	Reserve	50.00%	91,447	88,723	87,362	86,681	86,340
	Computer Refresh	40.00%	73,157	70,979	69,889	69,345	69,072
	Library Books	10.00%	18,289	17,745	17,472	17,336	17,268
	Total	100.00%	182,893	177,447	174,723	173,362	172,681

D. New business

-None

F. Adjourned: 11:42 AM